(4) SINGLE BENCH

CFRS 100

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR

W.P. (S) NO. 1060 / 2007

Petitioner

Smt. Pingla Gurjar, W/o Satesh Gurjar, Aged about 20 years, R/o Village Bedmi, Block-Odgi, Tehsil – Surajpur, Dist : Sarguja-(C.G.)

P.S. to ... Presented by Shri ... dated 13/2/07 13-02-2007

Versus

Respondents :

1. State of Chhattishgarh, Through The Secretary, Department of Women and Child Development, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY 14/2/07 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

2. The Collector (Women and Child Development), Sarguja-(C.G.)

3. The Project Officer, Women and Child Development, Odgi, Dist : Sarguja (C.G.)

OATH COMMISSIONER (S.R. Kaushik) BILASPUR TAHSIL AREA

4. Suraksha Jaiswal D/o Prithvinath, Aged about 17 years, R/o Village-Bedmi, Block-Odgi, Tehsil – Surajpur, Dist : Sarguja-(C.G.)

WRIT PETITION U/A 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI, PROHIBITION ETC.

1. Particulars of the Petitioner.

As per cause title.

(20)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No. 1060 of 2007

Petitioner Smt. Pingla Gurjar

Versus

Respondents State of Chhattisgarh & others

**Single Bench:** Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri.**

---

Present: Mr. A.N. Pandey, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Deepali Pandey, Panel Lawyer for the State.

---

**ORDER**

**(Passed on 26th Day of February, 2007)**

The following order of the Court was passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the appointment of the respondent No. 4 on the post of Anganbadi Sahaiyka/Worker is contrary to the provisions of Anganbadi Scheme and the name of the respondent No. 4 is not in the voter list.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner belongs to OBC and living in the same village and also belongs to the family living below the poverty line (BPL).

3. In view of the above, the respondent No. 2 is directed to look into the matter and pass appropriate order within a reasonable time, in accordance with Aanganbadi Scheme and law.

4. With the above direction, this petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

**Sd/-**
**Satish K. Agnihotri**
**Judge**

Subhash